that the house at 123 was too large. That was my personal opinion, based upon eight years of experience, and I might say that many of the other members expressed the same opinion to me.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Mason.

DELEGATE MASON: Senator James, I would like to know why the drastic reduction in the house, 62 members from the present house, and only three member reductions in the present senate.

DELEGATE JAMES: I thought I explained that at the outset. This might be regarded as a political situation on my part, but our experience, in my opinion, based upon eight years of experience in the senate was that the 29 man senate was a bit too small, too small because it had a tendency to create a very club-like atmosphere inwhich you found yourself almost without noticing it voting for people instead of issues, and this is the wrong frame of mind to be in when you are legislating; but the smaller the house, the more -- the greater the tendency is to go along on the basis of personal relationships, other than upon the basis of the merit of